

Applying modern software development techniques to UI testing

Ultimate Software: Mission Statement

To provide United States and Canadian businesses with 200 or more employees the highest quality, most complete, and well-integrated suite of strategic human resources, payroll, and talent management solutions.

Who we Are

Michael Longin – Ultimate Software
Software Engineer
Certified Scrum Master
Project Lead - SWAT

Christopher Taylor – Ultimate Software
QA Automation/Tester
Certified Scrum Master

Tools we will use

- SWAT
 - Simple Web Automation Toolkit
 - <http://ulti-swat.wiki.sourceforge.net/>
- Fitnessse
 - Wiki based requirements test tool
 - www.fitnessse.org
- Both are open source and can be used either together or stand alone

Goals for this session

- Attendees should be able to apply modern software development techniques to UI testing to create more agile and resilient tests and improve overall quality.
- “*Automated testing* done right is Software Development”
 - Elfriede Dustin and Marcus Borch @ GTAC 2008

Syllabus

- Why we do UI testing
- What is wrong with the record\playback technique
- Introduction to example project
- Applying Test First Development
- Refactoring
- Pair testing
- Compare our way vs. the “old way”

Why we do UI testing

- All the way through
 - Through the product
 - Through the layers
 - Its truly how the user will use your system
 - 100% Functional

Record-Playback technique failures

- **Brittle**
 - Easily broken when features change, even when the feature is not part of the test
- Very hard to update without re-recording
- **Not Agile**
 - Can only be done when code is complete
- Only tests what you record and not what you expect
- Time consuming because of the above
- Hard to read and understand

Our User

- Name: JB
- Occupation: Midlevel government employee
- Skills:
 - Web browsing
 - Word
 - Excel



User Story #1 : Login

- As a user I want to provide my username and password to login
- Conditions of Satisfaction
 - Login and Password boxes are present
 - Title should read “M&C Life Insurance”
 - Valid Login should lead to homepage
 - Example Login: jbauer\password
 - Homepage should read “Welcome”

User Story 2: View My Name

- As a user I want to be welcomed by my name after I login
- Conditions of Satisfaction
 - My first and last name are correct
 - Title should read “Welcome ‘first name’ ‘last name’”
 - Example: Welcome Jack Bauer

User Story 3: Change My Name

- As a user I want to be able to update my name
- Conditions of Satisfaction
 - I should get to the page by a menu located on the home page
 - There should be a first name and last name text box on the screen
 - Pressing save should bring me back to the homepage

Applying TFD

- Test can be written before the feature
- Allows parallel effort of development and automation
- Turns vertical slicing into completed automation
- Starts with well written conditions of satisfaction
- Consistent naming standards are key
 - txb -> Textbox
 - btn -> Button
 - lbl -> Label
 - *if naming is wrong, can be easily updated
- If the developer writes the automation, becomes almost a UI TDD

Applying TFD continued

- Example User Story #1
 - Title should read “M&C Life Insurance”
 - Title means an H2
 - Text reads: “M&C Life Insurance”
 - Login and Password boxes are present
 - 2 text boxes
 - Login - >txbLogin
 - Password -> txbPassword
 - Login Button -> btnLogin

Applying TFD continued

- Example Automation Code

```
|AssertElementExists|expression|innerHTML:M.C Life Insurance|h2| | |
|SetElementAttribute|Id|txbLogin|value|jbauer|input|  
|SetElementAttribute|Id|txbPassword|value|password|input|  
|StimulateElement|Id|btnLogin|onclick|input|  
|AssertElementExists|Expression|innerHTML:welcome|
```

TFD Demo

- Demo:

Refactoring

- Why refactor
 - Less time to write automation
 - Less maintenance
 - Creates reusable code
 - Creates a domain specific language
- What is refactoring
 - For our purposes
 - Turn frequently used blocks of code into single line reusable entities
 - Use variables to make tests more robust
 - Creates an easily readable test by using English named functions

Refactoring continued

- Allows tests to be easily updated if core features change
 - If login changed for example, only one update needed
- Allows those beginning to write tests to take advantage of previously created work
- Makes tests much easier to read and debug

Refactoring continued

- Example:

```
|OpenBrowser|
```

```
|NavigateBrowser|www.m&cinsurance.com|
```

```
|SetElementAttribute|Id|txbLogin|value|${userName}|input|
```

```
|SetElementAttribute|Id|txbPassword|value|${password}|input|
```

```
|StimulateElement|Id|btnLogin|onclick|input|
```

- Becomes:

```
!define loginUserName (jbauer)
```

```
!define loginPassword (password)
```

```
!include .Macros.Login
```

- Example:

```
variable defined: loginUserName=aut  
variable defined: loginPassword=password
```

```
▶ Included page: .SwatMacros LoginToServer
```

Refactoring Demo

- Demo:

Pair Testing

- Benefits rival those of Pair Programming
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pair_programming#Benefits
 - (Yes we did infact just site wikipedia)
- Can be very effective for both writing automation and locating missing requirements
- Two heads are always better then one
- While automating you can also accomplish exploratory testing
- Can replace manual testing phase with the creation of automation

Compare 2nd test example vs a “recorded version of the same test”

- Unreadable
- Unorganized
- Not useable as documentation (concept of testable documentation)

Where to get help

- Questions
 - SWAT:
 - http://sourceforge.net/forum/?group_id=199701
 - Fitnessse:
 - <http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/fitnessse/?v=1&t=search&ch=web&pub=groups&sec=group&slk=2>
 - Email
 - michael_longin@ultimatesoftware.com
 - christopher_taylor@ultimatesoftware.com
 - Blogs
 - devXero.wordpress.com
 - www.agile-tester.com
- Websites
 - <http://www.fitnessse.org/>
 - <http://ulti-swat.wiki.sourceforge.net/>

Questions

- Questions????

